Diffuse Radio Emission from Galaxy Clusters

Paul Ricker University of Illinois

Collaborator: Paul Sutter (IAP)

Santa Fe Cosmology Workshop St. John's College July 5, 2013



Clusters of galaxies



Largest gravitationally bound objects in the Universe

 $10^{13} - 10^{15}$ solar masses (M_{\odot}) 0.5 - 3 million parsecs

~ 1% galaxies
~ 10% intracluster medium gas
~ 90% dark matter

July 5, 2013

Clusters in cosmological context

Clusters form through merging and accretion of smaller objects

Filament-void network: matter collects in filaments, then flows toward intersections

Rich clusters lie at the intersections



Observing clusters



Optical/Infrared

Galaxies Intracluster stars

Dark matter via gravitational lensing

X-Ray

Thermal hot gas

Radio

Nonthermal particles

Thermal hot gas via Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect (microwave)

Mpc-scale diffuse radio emission

Radio halos

- Round
- Unpolarized
- Covers most of cluster

Radio relics

- Elongated
- Polarized
- Outskirts only

Radio minihalos

- Round
- Polarized
- Centers of cool-core clusters



Relics – examples

CIZAJ2242.8+5301 ("Sausage")



1RXS 0603.3+4214 ("Toothbrush")



XMM X-ray (blue) + 610 MHz GMRT (red) (Ogrean et al. 2012) XMM X-ray image (Ogrean et al. 2013) 1.4 GHz radio contours (van Weeren et al.)

Halos – examples



Feretti et al. (2012)

July 5, 2013

Detections of radio halos

Earliest

- Coma C source detected by Large et al. (1959), identified as diffuse by Willson (1970)
- Sy 1982 only ~ 4 − 5 radio halos known (Hanisch 1982)
 - Coma, A2255, A2256, A2319; Perseus (minihalo)

Recent searches

- NVSS 13 out of 205 XBACS clusters (Giovannini et al. 1999)
- WENSS 18 of 1001 ACO clusters (Kempner & Sarazin 2001)
- GMRT 10 of 50 REFLEX+eBCS clusters (Venturi et al. 2007, 2008)
- Extended GMRT survey: additional 12 clusters w/ no new halo detections (Kale et al. 2013)

~42 clusters with halos known to date



Common features of radio halos

Radio power

•
$$P_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} \sim 10^{23-26} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$$

Spectrum

•
$$P_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$$
, $\alpha = 1.2 - 2$

 "Normal" and "ultra steep spectrum"

Morphology

- All show distorted X-ray morphology
- No "cool-core" clusters host full-size radio halos



Venturi (2011)

Bimodality



Likelihood of hosting a halo
~ 5% of all clusters
~ 35% of clusters with L_x > 10⁴⁵ erg s⁻¹ (M ~ 10¹⁵ M_o)
Inference: separate "on" and "off" states

X-ray luminosity/radio power correlation



For clusters hosting radio halos, 1.4 GHz radio power correlates with (Liang et al. 2000; Feretti 2000)

- X-ray luminosity
- X-ray temperature
- Isophotal size

Kale et al. (2013)

Spatial correlations with X-rays

Some halos show spatial correlations with X-ray surface brightness and temperature ...

... but not all!

Govoni et al. (2004)



July 5, 2013

Radio spectral index and X-ray emission

Abell 2744 (Orru et al. 2007)



July 5, 2013

Radio spectral index and X-ray temperature

Abell 2744 (Orru et al. 2007)



Why do clusters have radio halos?

Mergers clearly matter

- All radio halos are in morphologically distorted clusters
- Radio power increases with amount of distortion
- Clusters are brighter and hotter in X-rays during mergers, and brighter in radio

Mass also matters

 Only the most massive clusters host halos, and only 1/3 of them



Cassano et al. (2010)

Why do clusters have radio halos?

Mergers clearly matter

- All radio halos are in morphologically distorted clusters
- Radio power increases with amount of distortion
- Clusters are brighter and hotter in X-rays during mergers, and brighter in radio

Mass also matters

 Only the most massive clusters host halos, and only 1/3 of them



Production of relativistic electrons

Primary electrons (Jaffe 1977)

- From intracluster medium or radio galaxies
- Require reacceleration to explain diffuse halos of size ~ 1 Mpc

Hadronic secondaries (Dennison 1980)

 From interactions of cosmic-ray protons with thermal protons:

$$p + p \rightarrow p + p + \begin{cases} \pi^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm} + \nu \\ \pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \end{cases}$$

- Do not require reacceleration; relativistic protons last (practically) forever
- Problem: γ-rays not seen by *Fermi* (Jeltema & Profumo 2011)



(Re-)acceleration mechanisms

First-order Fermi acceleration

- Origin: merger shocks
- Problem: should trace shocks
- Problem: Mach #s too low

Second-order Fermi acceleration

- Origin: merger-induced turbulence
- Needs efficient cascade to resonance scale – fast magnetosonic waves?

Maybe both operate

- Halos: turbulence
- Relics: shocks



E' < E

E' > E

Mergers can turn on halos

Donnert et al. (2013) – MHD simulation of head-on merger shows transition from "off" to "on" state and back





Intermission

What can we learn from radio halo statistics?

- Magnetic field scaling with cluster mass
- Cluster merger rate as a function of redshift
- Relative contributions of hadronic and turbulent sources
- Cosmological parameters?

Observations

- Power-law radio halo luminosity function (RHLF) sensitive to sample completeness
- "On" and "off" states
- Spectral index ~ 1.2 1.3 at 1.4 GHz, possibly steeper at lower frequencies



Zandanel et al. (2013)

Theoretical expectations for the RHLF

- Purely from observed scalings
 - Enßlin & Röttgering (2002)
 - Press-Schechter mass function \times
 - $L_{\rm x}\text{-}M \times P_{\rm 1.4}\text{-}L_{\rm x} \times 0.3$
- Turbulent reacceleration
 - Cassano et al. (2006, 2012)
 - $PS \times B(M)$ scaling \times acceleration efficiency * spectral cutoff
 - Merger tree + Fokker-Planck model of turbulence decay

Hadronic secondaries

Zandanel et al. (2013)

(N-body halos + gas model) \times *B*(*M*) scaling \times (turbulent advection, streaming)



Cassano et al. (2006)

Theoretical expectations for the RHLF

- Purely from observed scalings
 - Enßlin & Röttgering (2002)
 - Press-Schechter mass function \times
 - $L_{\rm x}\text{-}M \times P_{\rm 1.4}\text{-}L_{\rm x} \times 0.3$
- Turbulent reacceleration
 - Cassano et al. (2006, 2012)
 - $PS \times B(M)$ scaling \times acceleration efficiency * spectral cutoff
 - Merger tree + Fokker-Planck model or turbulence decay

Hadronic secondaries

- Zandanel et al. (2013)
 - (N-body halos + gas model) \times *B*(*M*) scaling \times (turbulent advection, streaming)



Cassano et al. (2012)

Theoretical expectations for the RHLF

Purely from observed scalings Enßlin & Röttgering (2002) Press-Schechter mass function \times $\log_{10} dn/dL_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} [h_{70}^5 \text{ Mpc}^{-3} (10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1})^{-1}]$ $L_{\rm x}$ - $M \times P_{14}$ - $L_{\rm x} \times 0.3$ Turbulent reacceleration Cassano et al. (2006, 2012) $PS \times B(M)$ scaling \times acceleration efficiency * spectral cutoff Merger tree + Fokker-Planck model of turbulence decay Hadronic secondaries Zandanel et al. (2013)

(N-body halos + gas model) × B(M) scaling × (turbulent advection, streaming)



Zandanel et al. (2013)

July 5, 2013

FLASH 3.3 simulation (Sutter & Ricker 2012)

ACDM

- $\Omega_{\rm m} = 0.262, \, \Omega_{\rm b} = 0.0437$
- $h = 0.719, \sigma_8 = 0.74$
- DM + preheated hydro
- Solume 1024 *h*^{−1} Mpc
- Particles 6.7 $\times 10^{10} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$
- AMR within 100 regions to $\Delta x = 32 h^{-1} \text{ kpc}$
- Jaguar (ORNL), 16K cores, 450K hours



Cluster samples

- High-resolution (131)
 clusters found in the 100 refined regions
- Low-resolution (3900)

clusters outside refined regions; assign radio power using mean scalings from highresolution sample



Modeling radio halo emission

• Allow for dependence of radio power on mass $M_{_{
m vir}}$ and turbulent pressure $\Gamma_{_{
m vir}}$

 $P_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} = C_S B_S(M_{\text{vir}}) M^a_{\text{vir}} \Gamma^c_{\text{vir}}$

$$\Gamma_{\rm vir} \equiv \sum_{\rm cells} \rho \Delta x^3 \mid \mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{300 \rm \ kpc} \mid^2$$

- Magnetic field dependence on mass $B_S(M_{\rm vir}) = \frac{B(M_{\rm vir})^2}{(B(M_{\rm vir})^2 + B_{\rm CMB}^2)^2}, \quad B(M_{\rm vir}) \equiv \langle B \rangle (M_{\rm vir}/\langle M \rangle)^b$
- Calibration using observed X-ray/radio correlation and Xray luminosity/mass correlation for most massive cluster

Two states assuming fixed radio halo probability of 5%
 July 5, 2013 SF13

Parameter constraints

• $\langle B \rangle$ limits

Upper: 6.0 µG from Faraday rotation measurements

Lower: 0.2 µG from limits on hard X-rays

• Limits on scaling exponents Compare fit to our $P_{1.4}$ -*M* relation to observed one



Parameter constraints from observed *P*-*M* relation

- Large $\langle B \rangle$ requires steep emissivity dependence on M_{vir} and Γ_{vir}
- Steep $B(M_{vir})$ essentially requires emissivity depend only on mass, or else high $\langle B \rangle$



Max allowed a+c

Scaling of turbulent energy with mass

- Scaling of mean turbulence-mass relation comparable to Vazza et al. (2006) result
- Large scatter due to mergers



Radio halo luminosity function at z = 0

Differential





Model Group 5: Extreme Magnetic Fields, Free Scalings



Solid: 1.4 GHz Dashed: 150 MHz (assuming spectral slope -1.2) Missing ~ 12 high-luminosity clusters because of limited volume

Sky maps



 Light cones with replicated box using simulated observations of individual clusters

Pixel size 2'

• 200 MHz

• FITS

http://sipapu.astro.illinois.edu/foswiki/bin/view/Main/RadioHaloMaps

Conclusions

Parameters allowed by observed *P*-*M* relation Large $\langle B \rangle$ requires steep emissivity dependence on $M_{\rm vir}$ and $\Gamma_{\rm vir}$ Steep $B(M_{int})$ requires weak turbulence dependence or large $\langle B \rangle$ Wide range of RHLFs allowed at present Need better constraints on *P*-*M* relation Shape of RHLF at low luminosities and frequencies is an important discriminator between models Need better understanding of survey completeness Next steps for our simulations Larger/more boxes – RHs are rare! MHD, physical cosmic ray injection and transport